

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,165	09/12/2003	Cheng-Te Chuang	MTKP0047USA	2164	
27765 75	90 10/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N		
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2187		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,165	CHUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	2187				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	September 2003.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TORM PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Burea	_	ou in this italional olago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
· ·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	· ·				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/30/05</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

1. The enclosed detailed action is in response to the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on June 1, 2004, the Priority Papers submitted on September 24, 2003 a and the Application submitted on September 12, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ahn (USPN: 6,564,283).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 4, Ahn discloses a method for managing an external memory of a microprocessor to achieve more available capacity comprising an address translator and using the address translator to translate a page [memory bank] of the external memory and an address within the page pointed to by the processor to a physical address of the external memory (Figure 2A, 2B; C 1, L 52-64), each common area (interrupt routine area) pointed to by the microprocessor being mapped to a section of the external memory (C 1, L 64-67; the interrupt routines performed by the processor [and is thereby addressed/pointed to by the processor] are stored in reserved regions of the memory banks) and using the microprocessor to access data stored at the physical address of the external memory (the microprocessor generates the 16 bit

Application/Control Number: 10/605,165

Art Unit: 2187

address for the external memory access which is used to access data stored in the external memory).

Regarding claim 3, Ahn discloses the external memory having a plurality of non-common areas (the remaining areas of the memory banks excluding the interrupt routines).

Regarding claim 8, Ahn discloses a chip [hardware] for performing the features in claim 1 (inherent).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahn (USPN: 6,564,283) in view of Applicant's submitted prior art Intel Application Note Migrating from the MCS ®51 Microcontroller to the MCS 251 Microcontroller (8XC251SB) software and hardware.

Regarding claims 5-6, Ahn discloses the limitations cited above, however, Ahn does not disclose using a 8 bit microprocessor nor using a MCS series microprocessor. However, Intel discloses that the MCS 251 8 bit processor is a low-cost, low-risk, easy and high performance controller (Introduction; page 4 and 5). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/605,165

Art Unit: 2187

art to use Ahn's teachings with a MCS 8 bit processor for the desirable purpose of low risk, low cost and high performance.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahn (USPN: 6,564,283)

Regarding claim 7, Ahn discloses the limitations cited above, however, Ahn does not explicitly disclose a flash memory device. Flash memory devices are well known in the art for retaining data stored therein when the device is not being powered. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a flash memory in the system taught by Ahn for the desirable purpose of data retention upon power loss.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

NOMOTO, KAZUNORI - JN 5-46473 – expanding external memory and bank switching

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-4194. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon (10-4), Tues, Thu (10-2), Fri (10-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 571-272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,165

Art Unit: 2187

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo

Pomary Examiner

Art Unit 2187

KNM

September 30, 2005